IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

V.

JUAN BAUTISTA BAEZ-MENDEZ (1),

Defendant

Criminal No. 22-484 (RAM)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION Re: Amendment 821

The below report and recommendation relates to an initial determination as to the defendant's eligibility for a sentencing reduction promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission under Part A and Part B, Subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to Policy Statement \S 1B1.10(d).

After careful review of the defendant's presentence report, charging document(s), plea agreement, plea supplement, judgment, and statement of reasons, I recommend that:

\boxtimes The defendant is <u>not</u> eligible for a sentence reduction based on the following factor(s):

- ☑ A. The guidelines range that applied in the defendant's case was not determined by U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1(d) or defendant's status as a zero-point offender under Chapter 4, Part A.
- \square B. The defendant does not meet <u>all</u> of conditions specified by § 4C1.1. Specifically, one or more of the following criteria applies:
 - ☐ 1) the defendant has criminal history points from Chapter Four, Part A;
 - ☐ 2) the defendant received an adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3A1.4 (Terrorism);
 - ☐ 3) the defendant used violence or credible threats of violence in connection with the offense;

\square 4) the offense resulted in death or serious bodil injury;
\square 5) the offense of conviction was a sex offense;
\square 6) the defendant personally caused substantia financial hardship;
☐ 7) the defendant possessed, received, purchased transported, transferred, sold, or otherwise disposed of a firearm or otherwise dangerous weapon (or induced another participant to do so) is connection with the offense;
☐ 8) the offense of conviction was covered by U.S.S.G. § 2H1.1 (Offenses Involving Individual Rights); or
\square 9) the defendant received an adjustment under 3A1.1 (Hate Crime Motivation or Vulnerable Victim or \S 3A1.5 (Serious Human Rights Offense).
☐ 10) the defendant received an adjustment unde §3B1.1 (Aggravating Role) and/or was engaged in continuing criminal enterprise, as defined in 2 U.S.C. § 848.
☐ C. The application of Amendment 821 Part A and Part B subpart 1 does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range. See § 1B1.10(a)(2)(B).
☐ D. The defendant was originally sentenced to a term of imprisonment that is less than or equal to the minimum of the guideline range as amended by Amendment 821 Part A and Part B, subpart 1, and no exception for substantial assistance applies. See § 1B1.10(b)(2)(A).
☐ E. The defendant was sentenced to a statutorily mandate minimum imprisonment term. The defendant did not compl with the safety valve provisions and did not receive reduction of his or her imprisonment term based on departure for substantial assistance or a Rule 35 motion subsequent to the original sentence. See § 1B1.10(c).

Since a determination of ineligibility has been made, the

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 17th of January, 2024.

s/ Bruce J. McGiverin

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE